

Straight to Mecca, Notes 7-8.

7. The polar equation of C_k , the envelope of circles with diameters NP , $P \in C_{k-1}$, can be verified thus. Take N as the origin, x -axis along NM , the y -axis perpendicular to it, and denote the length NM by R . Then the given circles have centres $(a, b) = (\frac{1}{2}R \cos^k(\frac{\theta}{k}) \cos \theta, \frac{1}{2}R \cos^k(\frac{\theta}{k}) \sin \theta)$ and pass through the origin. From Goursat – see page 432 bottom – the *chord of contact* of each circle, i.e., the straight line determined by the two intersections of this circle with an infinitely close circle of the family, has equation $xa' + yb' = 0$, where primes denote differentiation with respect to the parameter θ . The envelope is the union of the loci of these two intersections, that is, the single point N , union the locus of the other intersection Q . The tan of the angle which NQ makes with the x -axis is $-a'/b'$. Computing these derivatives a' and b' – their common factors $\frac{1}{2}R \cos^{k-1}(\frac{\theta}{k})$ cancel out – we get $\tan(\theta + \frac{\theta}{k})$. So NQ makes an angle $\theta + \frac{\theta}{k}$ with the x -axis, and has length $R \cos^k(\frac{\theta}{k}) \cos(\frac{\theta}{k}) = R \cos^{k+1}(\frac{\theta}{k})$. That is, Q is the point on $r(\phi) = R \cos^{k+1}(\frac{\phi}{k+1})$ for $\phi = \theta + \frac{\theta}{k}$.

8. The path WTM of Figure 1 in fact minimizes distance amongst all paths from W to M that don't go into latitudes more northerly than W . For, once we are on a tangent line through M to the latitude of W we should go on it to M . So our path is in the closed cone bounded by the tangent lines MT and MT' . So it must go through T or T' , and it must stay on the latitude of W till this point, for we can shorten any other path of the stated kind by bypassing a southern-most point by a small line segment.